Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/674,079	MERIC ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hai Tran	2611

Interview Summary	33.37 1,013			
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hai Tran	2611		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Hai Tran</u> .	(3)			
(2) Robert P. Lord.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 10/19/2005				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) 🔀 applicant's representative	e)		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: 1 (Proposed claim 1).				
Identification of prior art discussed: Prior Art of Record.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) 🔀 was not reached. h) 🗌 N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Proposes amended claims or pages 17-18 (lines. 5-10 supplemental amendment.	that reflect Apple). Applicant wit	icant's specification		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required